

Localization of interacting fermions in the Aubry-André model

Vieri Mastropietro¹

¹ *Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Saldini, 50, 20133 Milano - Italy*

We consider interacting electrons in a one dimensional lattice with an incommensurate Aubry-André potential in the regime when the single-particle eigenstates are localized. We rigorously establish persistence of ground state localization in presence of weak many-body interaction, for almost all the chemical potentials. The proof uses a quantum many body extension of methods adopted for the stability of tori of nearly integrable hamiltonian systems, and relies on number-theoretic properties of the potential incommensurate frequency.

Interacting fermions with a quasi-periodic potential describe quasicrystals or crystals with incommensurate charge density waves, and have a number of features in common with systems with random disorder; in particular *Anderson localization* [1] can occur in the single particle eigenstates. The paradigmatic model for such systems is the interacting *Aubry-André* model [2], which can be equivalently written as the Heisenberg XXZ spin chain with an incommensurate magnetic field. The interest of this model relies from one side on the fact that, despite its simplicity, it has a number of non trivial features common to more realistic systems, like a metal-insulator transition even at the single particle level; on the other, it provides an accurate description of cold atoms with quasi-random optical lattices generated by two or more incommensurate frequencies [3],[4],[5]. At the single particle level, that is neglecting the many body interaction, its properties follow from the *Harper* or *almost-Mathieu* equation which have been extensively studied in the last thirty years; it is known in particular that the spectrum is a Cantor set [6] with a dense set of gaps and that its eigenfunctions are extended [7] or localized [8] varying the strength of the potential. Such remarkable properties are related to a deep connection between the non interacting Aubry-André model and the *Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser* (KAM) theorem expressing the stability of invariant tori in quasi integrable hamiltonian systems.

Much less is known when a many-body interaction is present, and one of the key question is which is the fate of Anderson localization, which is a single particle phenomenon. Such problem is of general interest in disordered quantum matter (a quasi-periodic potential can be considered a quasi-random disorder). In the case of truly *random* disorder, localization in presence of interaction is supported by analytical arguments [9], [10] and rigorous proofs [11] (requiring however an unproven assumption), and important consequences of such a property for non equilibrium dynamics has been exploited [12],[13],[14],[15],[16]. A complete proof of localization in presence of interaction, even if limited to the ground state, is however still lacking in the random case. In the interacting Aubry-André model, analytical results have been established [17], [18] only in the *extended* regime; in the *localized* phase numerical simulations [19] and cold atoms experiments [5] support the stability of localization for attractive or repulsive interactions.

In this letter we rigorously establish in the Aubry-André model the persistence of ground state localization in presence of weak many-body interaction, for almost all values of the chemical potentials. The analysis relies heavily on number-theoretical properties of the frequency of the incommensurate potential, which play a key role already in the non interacting case; however the structure of small divisors is made involved by the presence of loops caused by the many body interaction. Our result is obtained by a new technique based on a combination of multiscale methods developed for the classical Lindstedt series for KAM invariant tori in nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems [20] combined with non-perturbative Renormalization Group (RG) methods [21].

The Hamiltonian of the interacting Aubry-André model is

$$H = -\varepsilon \left(\sum_x (a_{x+1}^+ a_x + a_x^+ a_{x-1}^-) \right) + \mu \sum_x a_x^+ a_x^- + u \sum_x \phi_x a_x^+ a_x^- + U \sum_x a_x^+ a_x^- a_{x+1}^+ a_{x+1}^- \quad (1)$$

with a_x^\pm fermionic creation or annihilation operators, x points on a one dimensions lattice with step 1 and Dirichlet boundary conditions and ϕ_x is a potential *incommensurate* with the lattice $\phi_x = \cos(2\pi\omega x)$ and ω *irrational*; it is convenient, as in the analysis of the Harper equation, to assume that ω verifies a *Diophantine* condition (valid on a full measure set)

$$\|x\omega\| \geq C|x|^{-\tau} \quad x \neq 0 \quad (2)$$

with $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm on the one dimensional torus of period 1. For definiteness we are choosing coordinates so that ϕ_x is even with respect to $x = 0$.

Ground state localization implies zero temperature exponential decay for large values of the coordinate difference in the thermodynamical correlations, for instance in the 2-point function $\langle T a_{\mathbf{x}}^- a_{\mathbf{y}}^+ \rangle$, where T is the time order product, $a_{\mathbf{x}}^\pm = e^{Hx_0} a_x^\pm e^{-Hx_0}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x)$ and $\langle \cdot \rangle = \frac{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta H}}{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta H}}$. In the absence of hopping or interaction $U = \varepsilon = 0$ (*molecular limit*) there is perfect localization and, if $\langle T a_{\mathbf{x}}^- a_{\mathbf{y}}^+ \rangle |_{U=\varepsilon=0} = g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \delta_{x,y} \int dk_0 \frac{e^{ik_0(x_0-y_0)}}{-ik_0 + u\phi_x - \mu} \quad (3)$$

which is exactly vanishing for fermions with different coordinates. We can now consider small U, ε (compared to u) and see if the theory is *analytically close* to the molecular limit. The interacting 2-point function can be written in terms of a perturbative expansion in U, ε and each term of the series is expressed in terms of Feynman graphs given by sums of products of propagators (3), whose singularities naturally determine the physical properties.

As the frequency ω is irrational, $(\omega x)_{\text{mod}.1}$ fills densely the interval $(-1/2, 1/2]$ so that the denominator $u\phi_x - \mu$ can be *arbitrarily small*; this happens when $(\omega x)_{\text{mod}.1}$ is close to $\pm(\omega\bar{x})_{\text{mod}.1}$ with $\mu = u \cos 2\pi\omega\bar{x}$; then for small $(\omega x')_{\text{mod}.1}$ one has $\phi_{x' \pm \bar{x}} - \mu \sim \pm(\omega x')_{\text{mod}.1}$. Note the close analogy between the 2-point function (3) at the *molecular limit* $u = \varepsilon = 0$, with the propagator in the *free Fermi gas* limit $U = u = 0$, namely $(-ik_0 + \varepsilon \cos k - \mu)^{-1}$; the points $\pm\bar{x}$ have the same role as the Fermi momenta $\pm p_F$ such that $\mu = \cos p_F$, so it is natural to call them *Fermi coordinates*. This relation between molecular and free gas limit is known as *Aubry duality*.

We expect that the interaction causes a renormalization of the chemical potential and it is then convenient to *fix* the interacting chemical potential by choosing properly its bare value; in particular the bare chemical potential is taken as $\mu = u \cos(2\pi\omega\bar{x}) + \varepsilon\nu$, and ν is determined by requiring the dressed chemical potential is $u \cos(2\pi\omega\bar{x})$. There are two main cases to be considered, corresponding to a choice of the chemical potential in one of the gaps of the non interacting spectrum (which are a dense set) or outside a gap. The gapped case is when fermions living close to different Fermi points, that is with coordinate $x' - \bar{x}$ and $x' + \bar{x}$ with $(\omega x')_{\text{mod}.1}$ small, are *exactly* connected by the hopping or by the interaction, which causes jumps of $0, \pm 1$ sites; this happens when $2\bar{x}$ is an *integer* and causes the gaps in the non interacting spectrum, exactly like a periodic potential produces gaps in the free Fermi gas limit (in space of momenta instead of coordinates). In the second case we choose the chemical potentials outside the gaps, and we do that by requiring a Diophantine condition for the Fermi coordinates, excluding only a zero measure set of values

$$\|\omega x \pm 2\omega\bar{x}\| \geq C|x|^{-\tau}, \quad x \neq 0 \quad (4)$$

In this case, fermions with coordinates $x' - \bar{x}$ and $x' + \bar{x}$ with $(\omega x')_{\text{mod}.1}$ small, can not be exactly connected by the hopping or by the interaction (but arbitrarily closely connected). Note that $|\hat{g}(k_0, x)| \leq C|x|^\tau$, that is the denominator can be very small for large x ; this is a sort of *ultraviolet-infrared* mixing, a phenomenon typical of incommensurate potentials. Our main result is the following.

Theorem. *Let us fix $u = 1, U = \lambda\varepsilon$, and assume ε, λ small and ω verifying (2), $\mu = \phi_{\bar{x}} + \varepsilon\nu$. Then if \bar{x} verifies (4) (chemical potentials outside the gaps of the non interacting spectrum) or $f\bar{x}$ is half integer (chemical potentials*

in the gaps of the non interacting spectrum), then, for a suitable choice of ν , the zero temperature 2-point function obeys to

$$| \langle T a_{\bar{x}}^- a_{\bar{y}}^+ \rangle | \leq C e^{-\xi|x-y|} F(x_0 - y_0) \quad (5)$$

with $\xi = C|\log|\varepsilon||$, C a constant, and $F = \frac{1}{1+(\Delta|_{x_0-y_0}|)^N}$ with $\Delta = (1 + \min(|x|, |y|))^{-\tau}$ if \bar{x} verifies (4), while $\Delta = a|\varepsilon|^{2\bar{x}} + O(\varepsilon^{2\bar{x}+1})$ and $a > 0$ if \bar{x} is half integer.

The above result establishes exponential decay of the 2-point function, implying Anderson localization for the ground states of the interacting system, both when the chemical potentials in the center of the gaps or outside the gaps; in the first case there is a fast decay in time with rate proportional to the gap size.

Proof. We present here the key steps of the proof (more technical details will be published elsewhere [22]). The 2-point function is obtained by the second derivative of the generating function

$$e^{W(\phi)} = \int P(d\psi) e^{V(\psi) + (\psi, \phi)} \quad (6)$$

with

$$V = -\lambda \int d\mathbf{x} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^+ \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^- \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_1}^+ \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_1}^- + \varepsilon \int d\mathbf{x} (\psi_{\mathbf{x}}^+ \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_1}^- + \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_1}^+ \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^-) + \nu \int d\mathbf{x} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^+ \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^- \quad (7)$$

where ψ are grassmann variables, ϕ is the external source, $\int d\mathbf{x} = \int dx_0 \sum_{x'} \mathbf{e}_1 = (0, 1)$ and $P(d\psi)$ is the fermionic integration with propagator (3). We introduce a cut-off smooth function $\chi_\rho(k_0, x)$ which is non vanishing for $\sqrt{k_0^2 + ((\omega(x - \rho\bar{x}))_{\text{mod}.1})^2} \leq \gamma$, where $\rho = \pm 1$ and $\gamma > 1$ is a suitable constant (to be fixed below); therefore we can write the propagator as

$$\hat{g}(k_0, x) = \hat{g}^{(u.v.)}(k_0, x) + \sum_{\rho=\pm 1} \hat{g}_\rho(k_0, x) \quad (8)$$

where $\hat{g}_\rho(k_0, x) = \frac{\chi_\rho(k_0, x)}{-ik_0 + \phi_x - \mu}$, and correspondingly $\psi_{k_0, x} = \psi_{k_0, x}^{(u.v.)} + \sum_{\rho=\pm 1} \psi_{\rho, k_0, x}$. This simply says that we are rewriting the fermionic field as sum of two independent fields living close to one of the Fermi points, up to a regular field. We can further decompose

$$\hat{g}_\rho(k_0, x) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^0 \hat{g}_\rho^{(h)}(k_0, x) \quad (9)$$

with $\hat{g}_\rho^{(h)}(k_0, x)$ similar to $\hat{g}_\rho^{(h)}(k_0, x)$ with χ replaced by f_h with where $f_h(k_0, x')$ is non vanishing in a region $\sqrt{k_0^2 + ((\omega x')_{\text{mod}.1})^2} \sim \gamma^h$. After the integration of $\psi^{(u.v.)}, \psi^{(0)}, \dots, \psi^{(h+1)}$ the generating function has the form

$$e^{W(\phi)} = \int P(d\psi^{\leq h}) e^{V^{(h)}(\psi) + B^{(h)}(\psi, \phi)} \quad (10)$$

where $P(d\psi^{\leq h})$ has propagator $g_\rho^{(\leq h)} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^h g_\rho^{(k)}$ and $V^{(h)}(\psi)$ is given by

$$V^{(h)}(\psi) = \sum_m W_m^{(h)} \psi_{\rho_1, x_0, 1, x'_1 + \rho_1 \bar{x}}^{\varepsilon_1(\leq h)} \dots \psi_{\rho_m, x_0, m, x'_m + \rho_m \bar{x}}^{\varepsilon_m(\leq h)}$$

and the kernels $W_m^{(h)}$ are sum of Feynman diagrams obtained connecting vertices ε , λ or ν with propagators $g^{(k)}$ with $k > h$; $B^{(h)}$ is given by a similar expression with the only difference that some of the external lines are associated to ϕ fields. In each of the Feynman diagrams contributing to $W_m^{(h)}$ there is a *tree* of propagators connecting all the external lines and the vertices; the coordinates x_i , x_j of two external lines as such that

$$x_i - x_j = x'_i + \rho_i \bar{\omega} - x'_j - \rho_j \bar{\omega} = \sum_\alpha^* \delta_\alpha \quad (11)$$

where the sum is over the vertices in the path of the tree connecting i and j and $\delta_\alpha = (0, 1, -1)$ (see Fig. 1) is associated to the line connected to the vertex α . Of course $x_i - x_j$ has an integer value.

Each kernel $W_m^{(h)}$ is expressed by a power series in ε , ν , λ , and one has to show convergence uniformly in the RG step $|h|$; however standard *power counting* arguments says that the theory is *non renormalizable*, as the naive degree of divergence is $k-1$ if k is the order of the graph. Power counting is not sufficient and one has to use a more accurate analysis exploiting the number-theoretic properties of the frequency.

In order to do that it is convenient, given a Feynman graph, to consider a maximally connected subset of lines corresponding to propagators with scale $h \geq h_v$ with at least a scale h_v , and we call it *cluster* v ; the external lines have scale smaller than h_v . Therefore to each Feynman graph is associated a hierarchy of clusters; inside each cluster v there are S_v maximal clusters, that is clusters contained only in the cluster v and not in any smaller one, or trivial clusters given by a single vertex. Each of such S_v clusters are connected by a tree of propagators with scale h_v ; by integrating the propagators over the time, and using that $\int dx_0 |g_\rho^{(h)}(x_0, x)| \leq C\gamma^{-h}$ and that $|g_\rho^{(h)}(x_0, x)| \leq C$ we get that each graph of order n contributing to $W_m^{(h)}$ is bounded by

$$C^n \varepsilon^n \prod_v \gamma^{-h_v(S_v-1)} \quad (12)$$

where v are the clusters and $h_v \leq 0$. As $S_v \geq 1$ the above estimate says that the size of the graph increases with $|h|$ and diverges in the zero temperature limit. In getting (12), we have however not used a crucial property implied by the Diophantine condition: namely that if the denominators associated to the external lines have the same size, the difference of coordinates must be or $0, \pm 2\bar{x}$ (the second case only for \bar{x} half integer) or very large; in this second case there is a large number of hopping or interactions terms by (11) and therefore a decaying factor associated to an high power of ε . This

suggests to distinguish in $V^{(h)}$ two kinds of terms, the *resonant terms*, such that the coordinate x'_i measured from the Fermi coordinates of the external fields are all *equal*, and the remaining *non resonant* terms. We define, as usual in the theory of renormalization, a localization operator \mathcal{L} acting only on the resonant terms and setting all the temporal coordinates of the external fields equal. We split the resonant terms in a *local* part, where \mathcal{L} applies, and a *renormalized* part, where $\mathcal{R} = 1 - \mathcal{L}$ applies. In the \mathcal{R} part there is at least a difference of fields $(\psi_{\rho, x_0, i, x' + \rho \bar{x}}^{\varepsilon(\leq h)} - \psi_{\rho, x_0, i, x' + \rho \bar{x}}^{\varepsilon(\leq h)})$ and this produces a extra gain $\gamma^{h_v - h_v}$, if v is a resonant cluster and v' is the minimal cluster containing it. Regarding the local terms, they are proportional to monomial of fields with the same coordinates \mathbf{x}' , hence anticommutativity implies that terms with more than four fields are exactly vanishing. The possibly non vanishing quartic terms have the form

$$\varepsilon \lambda_h \int d\mathbf{x} \psi_{+, x_0, x' + \bar{x}}^+ \psi_{+, x_0, x' + \bar{x}}^- \psi_{-, x_0, x' - \bar{x}}^+ \psi_{-, x_0, x' - \bar{x}}^- \quad (13)$$

When \bar{x} verifies (4) (hence cannot be an half-integer) such terms are vanishing as there are fields with coordinate difference $2\bar{x}$ which by (11) should be an integer. When \bar{x} is half-integer local quartic terms exist and in such a case $\lambda_h = O(\varepsilon^{2\bar{x}-1}\lambda)$. Similarly the quadratic terms are of two kinds; one is $\varepsilon \nu_h \sum_\rho \int d\mathbf{x} \psi_{+, x_0, x' + \rho \bar{x}}^+ \psi_{+, x_0, x' + \rho \bar{x}}^-$ representing the renormalization of the chemical potential, the other is $\sigma_h \sum_\rho \int d\mathbf{x} \psi_{+, x_0, x' + \rho \bar{x}}^+ \psi_{+, x_0, x' - \rho \bar{x}}^-$ and is vanishing when \bar{x} verifies (4), while is present when \bar{x} is half integer and in such case $\sigma_h = O(\varepsilon^{2\bar{x}})$.

We have now to consider the contributions from the *non resonant* terms of the effective potential $V^{(h)}$. In such terms there are at least two external fields with coordinate x'_1, x'_2 with $x'_1 \neq x'_2$; if $|h|$ is large the corresponding divisors are small $O(\gamma^h)$ but the coordinate difference is large. More quantitatively, if $m = \sum_\alpha^* \delta_\alpha \neq 0$, see (11) and v' is the cluster containing v

$$2\gamma^{h_{v'}} \geq |(\omega x'_1)| + |(\omega x'_2)| \geq |(\omega(x'_1 - x'_2))| = |\omega(\rho_2 - \rho_1)\bar{x} + m\omega| \geq C_0 |\delta 2\bar{x} + |m||^{-\tau} \quad (14)$$

with $\delta = 0$ when \bar{x} verifies (4)i and $\delta = 1$ when is half integer; the last inequality follows from (2) and (4). Therefore $|m| \geq \tilde{C}\gamma^{-h/\tau}$, that is m must be very large if the divisors are small and not coinciding. In a non resonant cluster there then are necessarily a large number of vertices N so that $N \geq |m| \geq \tilde{C}\gamma^{-h/\tau}$; by taking into account the hierarchy of clusters one gets

$$\varepsilon^n \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_v \varepsilon^{C 2^{h_v} \gamma^{-h_v/\tau} S_v^{NR}} \quad (15)$$

where S_v^{NR} are the non resonant clusters contained in v ; that is a decay factor is associated to each non resonant cluster. The power counting bound (12), apparently saying that the theory is non renormalizable, is therefore

replaced by

$$G\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[\prod_v \gamma^{-h_v(S_v-1)} \right] \left[\prod_v \varepsilon^{C^{2h_v} \gamma^{-h_v/\tau} S_v^{NR}} \right] \left[\prod_v^* \gamma^{h_{v'}-h_v} \right] \quad (16)$$

where \prod_v^* is over the resonant terms and G contains the product of running coupling constants. Note that $S_v = S_v^R + S_v^{NR} + S_v^e$, where S_v^R is the number of resonant clusters and S_v^e is the number of end-points with scale h_v in the cluster v . The above bound is true not only for the single graph, but for the sum of all graphs contributing to order n and summing over all the possible choices of external lines of the clusters. Note that $\left[\prod_v \gamma^{-h_v(S_v^R-1)} \right] \left[\prod_v^* \gamma^{h_{v'}-h_v} \right] \leq 1$. Finally one has to discuss the flow of the effective running coupling constant. When \bar{x} verifies (4) the only running coupling constant is ν_h , expressing the renormalization of the chemical potential and by choosing properly ν then by a fixed point argument $\nu_h = O(\gamma^h)$. When \bar{x} is half integer then one has also a mass term $\sigma_h = a\varepsilon^{2\bar{x}} + (\varepsilon^{2\bar{x}+1})$ and this implies that there is a mass scale h^* of the order of the log of the mass. The effective coupling is $\lambda_h = \lambda_0(1 + O(|h^*|\varepsilon))$ and as $\lambda_0 = O(\varepsilon^{2\bar{x}-1}\lambda)$ then $\gamma^{-h}|\varepsilon\lambda_h|$ is small. From (16) we have finally that the size of the n -th contribution to $W_m^{(h)}$ is

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[\prod_v (\gamma^{-h_v} \varepsilon^{C^{2h_v} \gamma^{-h_v/\tau}} S_v^{NR}) \right] \leq C^n \varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[\prod_v \gamma^{h_v} S_v^{NR} \right]$$

If $\gamma^{\frac{1}{\tau}} > 2$ and ε small one can then sum over $\{h_v\}$ and get an $\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} C^n$ bound. When $S_v^{NR} \neq 0$ this is trivial. If $S_v^{NR} = 0$ then v is resonant and: a) when \bar{x} is half integer one

uses the gap saying that the number of scales is $O(\log \varepsilon)$; b) when \bar{x} verifies (4) then b1) if has more than four lines, v is resonant and the external lines have the same ρ index by (11), see after (13); therefore there are at least two couples of identical fields $\psi_{\rho, x'+\rho\bar{x}, x_0, i}^\varepsilon \psi_{\rho, x'+\rho\bar{x}, x_0, j}^\varepsilon = \psi_{\rho, x'+\rho\bar{x}, x_0, i}^\varepsilon (\psi_{\rho, x'+\rho\bar{x}, x_0, j}^\varepsilon - \psi_{\rho, x'+\rho\bar{x}, x_0, i}^\varepsilon)$ so that there is at least an extra factor $\gamma^{h_{v'}-h_v}$ with respect to the one already used in (16), allowing the sum over h_v . b2) if v has two external lines than if one external line is external to an inner resonant cluster with 2 lines, than there is no sum as $h_v = h_{v'} + 1$ by the support properties of f_h as the internal lines of v has the same k_0, x' as the external ones; if the external line is external of an inner resonant cluster with four or more lines then by the argument of b1) one get that the external field can be replaced by the difference of fields and an extra factor $\gamma^{h_{v'}-h_v}$ is obtained. A similar analysis can be done for the terms with 2 external lines ϕ contributing to the 2-point function; the exponential decay in the coordinate follows again from (11) as the only non vanishing contributions have an order greater than $|x - y|/2$.

In conclusion we have rigorously established persistence of localization of the ground state for almost all the chemical potentials and in presence of attractive or repulsive weak interaction. The analysis relies heavily on the number theoretic properties of the frequency of the quasi-periodic potential. Our result would imply localization of all the eigenfunctions in a quasi-free theory, and we believe that an extension of the methods introduced here will be able to establish localization also at finite temperature, or extended to random disorder.

-
- [1] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 109, 1492 (1958)
[2] S. Aubry, G. André, Ann. Israel Phys. Soc. 3, 133 (1980).
[3] G. Roati et al., Nature 453, 895 (2008).
[4] C. D Errico et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 095301 (2014)
[5] M. Schreiber et. al. arxiv 1501.0566
[6] A. Avila, S. Jitomirskaya, Ann. of. Math. 170 303 (2009)
[7] E. Dinaburg, E, Y. Sinai, Funct. an. and its app. 9, 279 (1975)
[8] J. Froehlich, T. Spencer, T. Wittvwer, Comm. Math. Phys. 88, 151 (1983)
[9] I. Gornyi, A. Mirlin, A., D. Polyakov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 9, 206603 (2005)
[10] D.M. Basko, et al. Ann. Phys. 321, 1126 (2006)
[11] J. Imbrie. arxiv1403.7837
[12] V. Oganesyan, D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 75, 155111 (2007)
[13] M. Znidaric et al. , Phys. Rev. B 77, 064426 (2008)
[14] A. Pal. D . Huse, Phys. Rev. B 82, 174411 (2010)
[15] V. Ros, M. Mueller, A. Scardicchio, Nucl. Phys. B, 420 (2015)
[16] R. Nandkshore, D. Huse, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics, Vol. 6, 15 (2015)
[17] V. Mastropietro, Comm. Math. Phys. 201, 81 (1999); Phys. Rev.B 65, 75113, (2002).
[18] G. Vidal, D. Mouhanna, T. Giamarchi, Phys, Rev. Lett. 83, 3908 (1999)
[19] S. Iyer, V. Oganesyan, G. Refael, D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 87, 134202 (2013)
[20] G. Gallavotti, Comm. Math. Phys. 164 1, 145 (1994)
[21] G. Gentile V. Mastropietro Physics Reports 352 (4), 273 (2001)
[22] V. Mastropietro, arxiv1411.0201