# A SHARP VANISHING THEOREM FOR LINE BUNDLES ON K3 OR ENRIQUES SURFACES

ANDREAS LEOPOLD KNUTSEN* AND ANGELO FELICE LOPEZ**

Abstract. Let $L$ be a line bundle on a K3 or Enriques surface. We give a vanishing theorem for $H^1(L)$ that, unlike most vanishing theorems, gives necessary and sufficient geometrical conditions for the vanishing. This result is essential in our study of Brill-Noether theory of curves on Enriques surfaces [KL1] and of Enriques-Fano threefolds [KLM].

## 1. Introduction

Since Grothendieck's introduction of basic tools such as the cohomology of sheaves and the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem, vanishing theorems have proved to be essential in many studies in algebraic geometry.
Perhaps the most influential one, at least for line bundles, is the well-known Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem ([K, V]) which, in its simplest form, asserts that $H^i(K_X+\mathcal{L}) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and any big and nef line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on a smooth variety $X$. On the other hand, as most vanishing theorems (even for special surfaces [CD, Thm.1.5.1]), it gives only *sufficient conditions for the vanishing.* Practice shows though that, in many situations, it would be very useful to know that a certain vanishing is *equivalent* to some geometrical/numerical properties of $\mathcal{L}$.
In this short note we accomplish the above goal for line bundles on a K3 or Enriques surface, by proving that, when $L^2 > 0$, the vanishing of $H^1(L)$ is equivalent to the fact that the intersection of $L$ with all effective divisors of self-intersection $-2$ is at least $-1$.
In the statement of the theorem we will employ the following

**Definition 1.1.** *Let $X$ be a smooth surface. We will denote by $\sim$ (respectively $\equiv$) the linear (respectively numerical) equivalence of divisors (or line bundles) on $X$. We will say that a line bundle $L$ is* **primitive** *if $L \equiv kL'$ for some line bundle $L'$ and some integer $k$ implies $k = \pm 1$.*

**Theorem.**
*Let $X$ be a K3 or an Enriques surface and let $L$ be a line bundle on $X$ such that $L > 0$ and $L^2 \geq 0$. Then $H^1(L) \neq 0$ if and only if one of the three following occurs:*

(i) *$L \sim nE$ for $E > 0$ nef and primitive with $E^2 = 0$, $n \geq 2$ and $h^1(L) = n - 1$ if $X$ is a K3 surface, $h^1(L) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ if $X$ is an Enriques surface;*

(ii) *$L \sim nE + K_X$ for $E > 0$ nef and primitive with $E^2 = 0$, $X$ is an Enriques surface, $n \geq 3$ and $h^1(L) = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$;*

(iii) *there is a divisor $\Delta > 0$ such that $\Delta^2 = -2$ and $\Delta.L \leq -2$.*

* Research partially supported by a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship within the 6th European Community Framework Programme.
** Research partially supported by the MIUR national project "Geometria delle varietà algebriche" COFIN 2002-2004.
*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification* : Primary 14F17, 14J28. Secondary 14C20.

Note that the hypothesis $L > 0$ is not restrictive since, if $L$ is nontrivial, from $L^2 \geq 0$ we get by Riemann-Roch that either $L > 0$ or $K_X - L > 0$, and $h^1(L) = h^1(K_X - L)$ by Serre duality.

The theorem has of course many possible applications. For example, if $L$ is base-point free and $|P|$ is an elliptic pencil on $X$, the knowledge of $h^0(L - nP)$ for $n \geq 1$ (which follows by Riemann-Roch if we know that $h^1(L - nP) = 0$) determines the type of scroll spanned by the divisors of $|P|$ in $\mathbb{P}H^0(L)$ and containing $\varphi_L(X)$ ([SD, KJ, Co]). Most importantly for us, this result proves crucial in our study of the Brill-Noether theory [KL1, KL2] and Gaussian maps [KL3] of curves lying on an Enriques surface, and especially in our proof of a genus bound for threefolds having an Enriques surface as a hyperplane section given in [KLM].

*Acknowledgments.* The authors wish to thank Roberto Muñoz for several helpful discussions.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

We first record the following simple but useful fact.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $X$ be a smooth surface and let $A > 0$ and $B > 0$ be divisors on $X$ such that $A^2 \geq 0$ and $B^2 \geq 0$. Then $A.B \geq 0$ with equality if and only if there exists a primitive divisor $F > 0$ and integers $a \geq 1, b \geq 1$ such that $F^2 = 0$ and $A \equiv aF, B \equiv bF$.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the signature theorem [BPV, VIII.1]. If $A.B = 0$, then we cannot have $A^2 > 0$, otherwise the Hodge index theorem implies the contradiction $B \equiv 0$. Therefore $A^2 = B^2 = 0$. Now let $H$ be an ample line bundle on $X$ and set $\alpha = A.H, \beta = B.H$. We have $(\beta A - \alpha B)^2 = 0$ and $(\beta A - \alpha B).H = 0$, therefore $\beta A \equiv \alpha B$ by the Hodge index theorem. As there is no torsion in $\mathrm{Num}(X)$ we can find a divisor $F$ as claimed. □

We now proceed with the theorem.

*Proof.* One immediately sees that $h^1(L)$ has the given values in (i) and (ii). In the case (iii) we first observe that $h^2(L - \Delta) = 0$. In fact $(K_X - L + \Delta)^2 > 0$, whence if $K_X - L + \Delta \geq 0$ the signature theorem [BPV, VIII.1] implies $0 \leq L.(K_X - L + \Delta) = -L^2 + L.\Delta \leq -2$, a contradiction. Therefore by Riemann-Roch we get

$$\frac{1}{2}L^2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < \frac{1}{2}L^2 - \Delta.L - 1 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq h^0(L - \Delta) \leq h^0(L) = \frac{1}{2}L^2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + h^1(L)$$

whence $h^1(L) > 0$.

Now assume that $h^1(L) > 0$.

First we suppose that $L$ is nef. By Riemann-Roch we have that $L + K_X > 0$. Since $h^1(-(L + K_X)) = h^1(L) > 0$, by [BPV, Lemma12.2], we deduce that $L + K_X$ is not 1-connected, whence that there exist $L' > 0$ and $L'' > 0$ such that $L + K_X \sim L' + L''$ and $L'.L'' \leq 0$. Now $(L')^2 \geq (L')^2 + L'.L'' = L'.L \geq 0$ and similarly $(L'')^2 \geq 0$, whence Lemma 2.1 implies that $L' \equiv aE$, $L'' \equiv bE$ for some $a, b \geq 1$ and for $E > 0$ nef and primitive with $E^2 = 0$. This gives us the two cases (i) and (ii).

Now assume that $L$ is not nef, so that the set

$$\mathcal{A}_1(L) := \{\Delta > 0 \ : \ \Delta^2 = -2, \ \Delta.L \leq -1\}$$

is not empty. Similarly define the set

$$\mathcal{A}_2(L) = \{\Delta > 0 \ : \ \Delta^2 = -2, \ \Delta.L \leq -2\}.$$

If $\mathcal{A}_2(L) \neq \emptyset$ we are done. Assume therefore that $\mathcal{A}_2(L) = \emptyset$ and pick $\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_1(L)$. Then $\Gamma.L = -1$, and we can clearly assume that $\Gamma$ is irreducible. Hence if we set $L_1 = L - \Gamma$ we have that $L_1 > 0$, $L_1^2 = L^2$ and, since $h^0(L_1) = h^0(L)$, also that $h^1(L_1) = h^1(L) > 0$.
If $L_1$ is nef, by what we have just seen, we have $L_1 \equiv nE$, for $n \geq 2$, whence $L \equiv nE + \Gamma$ and $-1 = \Gamma.L = nE.\Gamma - 2$, a contradiction.
Therefore $L_1$ is not nef and $\mathcal{A}_1(L_1) \neq \emptyset$.
If $\mathcal{A}_2(L_1) \neq \emptyset$ we pick a $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(L_1)$. We have $-2 \geq \Delta.L_1 = \Delta.(L-\Gamma) \geq -1-\Delta.\Gamma$, whence $\Delta.\Gamma \geq 1$, $(\Delta + \Gamma)^2 \geq -2$ and $(\Delta + \Gamma).L_1 \leq -1$. Now Lemma 2.1 yields $(\Delta + \Gamma)^2 = -2$, so that $\Delta.\Gamma = 1$. Also $-1 \leq \Delta.L = \Delta.(L_1 + \Gamma) \leq -1$, whence $\Delta.L = -1$ and $(\Delta+\Gamma).L = -2$, contradicting $\mathcal{A}_2(L) = \emptyset$.
We have therefore shown that $\mathcal{A}_2(L_1) = \emptyset$.
This means that we can continue the process. But the process must eventually stop, since we always remove base components. This gives the desired contradiction. □

**Remark 2.2.** *A naive guess, to insure the vanishing of $H^1(L)$ for a line bundle $L > 0$ with $L^2 \geq 0$, could be that it is enough to add the hypothesis $L.R \geq -1$ for every irreducible rational curve $R$. However this is not true.* Take, for example, a nef divisor $B$ with $B^2 \geq 4$ and two irreducible rational curves $R_1, R_2$ such that $B.R_i = 0, R_1.R_2 = 1$. Then $L := B+R_1+R_2$ satisfies the above requirements, but $L.(R_1+R_2) = -2$, whence $H^1(L) \neq 0$ by the theorem.

**Remark 2.3.** It would be of interest to know if, in the statement of the theorem, it is possible to replace divisors $\Delta > 0$ such that $\Delta^2 = -2$ with chains of irreducible rational curves.

**Definition 2.4.** *An effective line bundle $L$ on a K3 or Enriques surface is said to be* **quasi-nef** *if $L^2 \geq 0$ and $L.\Delta \geq -1$ for every $\Delta$ such that $\Delta > 0$ and $\Delta^2 = -2$.*

An immediate consequence of the theorem is

**Corollary 2.5.** *An effective line bundle $L$ on a K3 or Enriques surface is quasi-nef if and only if $L^2 \geq 0$ and either $h^1(L) = 0$ or $L \equiv nE$ for some $n \geq 2$ and some primitive and nef divisor $E > 0$ with $E^2 = 0$.*

## References


[BPV] W. Barth, C. Peters, A. van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **4**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1984.

[CD] F. R. Cossec, I. V. Dolgachev. *Enriques Surfaces I.* Progress in Mathematics **76**. Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 1989.

[Co] F. R. Cossec. *Projective models of Enriques surfaces.* Math. Ann. **265** (1983), 283–334.

[K] Y. Kawamata. *A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem.* Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 43–46.

[KJ] T. Johnsen, A. L. Knutsen. *K3 projective models in scrolls.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1842**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[KL1] A. L. Knutsen, A. F. Lopez. *Brill-Noether theory of curves on Enriques surfaces I: the positive cone and gonality.* Preprint 2006.

[KL2] A. L. Knutsen, A. F. Lopez. *Brill-Noether theory of curves on Enriques surfaces II.* In preparation.

[KL3] A. L. Knutsen, A. F. Lopez. *Surjectivity of Gaussian maps for curves on Enriques surfaces.* Adv. Geom. **7** (2007), 215–247.

[KLM] A. L. Knutsen, A. F. Lopez, R. Muñoz. *On the extendability of projective surfaces and a genus bound for Enriques-Fano threefolds.* Preprint 2006.

[SD] B. Saint-Donat. *Projective models of $K-3$ surfaces.* Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 602–639.

[V] E. Viehweg. *Vanishing theorems.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **335** (1982), 1–8.

Andreas Leopold Knutsen, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tre, Largo San Leonardo Murialdo 1, 00146, Roma, Italy. e-mail knutsen@mat.uniroma3.it

Angelo Felice Lopez, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tre, Largo San Leonardo Murialdo 1, 00146, Roma, Italy. e-mail lopez@mat.uniroma3.it