

Dalla puntate precedenti

$$w_j(s) = \langle j \rangle^{p_0} e^{s \langle j \rangle^{\theta}} + a |j|$$

$\mathcal{H}_{r,s}$  hamiltoniane regolari su  $B_r(w(s))$

che conservano il momento e  $t_c$ .

$$H = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta| \geq 2 \\ \pi(\alpha-\beta)=0}} H_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha \bar{u}^\beta \quad (\text{di grado} \geq 0)$$

oss,  $\pi(\alpha-\beta) = \sum i(\alpha_i - \beta_i) = 0$

implica che  $\mathcal{P}^{(-1)} = \text{Re}(H_{q \underline{e}_0} u_0)$  la condizione  $d \geq 0$  esclude questi termini.

N.B. se  $d=0$   $H = \sum \lambda_j |u_j|^2 + Q(u_0)$

Veri il parametro  $s$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** If  $H \in \mathcal{H}_{r,s}$ , then for all  $s_1 \geq s$  one has

$$\|H\|_{r,s_1} \leq \|H\|_{r,s}.$$

devo dimostrare che

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha, \beta: \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 2}} \sup_{\substack{\delta: \\ \alpha_j + \beta_j \neq 0}} \left( \frac{W(s)}{W(s+\delta)} \right)^{\alpha + \beta - 2\epsilon_j} \leq 1$$

$$\alpha + \beta \neq \epsilon_0$$

$$\left( \frac{W(s+\delta)}{W(s)} \right)_J := \frac{w_j(s+\delta)}{w_j(s)} = e^{\delta \langle j \rangle^\theta} \quad (\text{tutto il resto si cancella})$$

quindi voglio mostrare che

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha, \beta: \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 2}} \sup_{\substack{\delta: \\ \alpha_j + \beta_j \neq 0}} -\sum \langle l \rangle^\theta (\alpha_l + \beta_l) + 2 \langle j \rangle^\theta \leq 0$$

$$\alpha + \beta \neq \epsilon_0$$

$$\text{c'è } \forall \alpha, \beta: \pi(\alpha - \beta) = 0; |\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 2 \quad \alpha_j + \beta_j \neq 0$$

$$-2 \langle j \rangle^\theta + \sum \langle l \rangle^\theta (\alpha_l + \beta_l) \geq 0$$

# Representazione utile (gli $\hat{n}$ )

**Def** **Definition A.2.** Given a vector  $v = (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{N}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $|v| \geq 2$  we denote by  $\hat{n} = \hat{n}(v)$  the vector  $(\hat{n}_l)_{l \in I}$  (where  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$  is finite) which is the decreasing rearrangement of

$$\{\mathbb{N} \ni h > 1 \text{ repeated } v_h + v_{-h} \text{ times}\} \cup \{1 \text{ repeated } v_1 + v_{-1} + v_0 \text{ times}\}$$

**Remark A.3.** A good way of envisioning this list is as follows. Given an infinite set of variables  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and a vector  $v = (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{N}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}$  consider the monomial  $x^v := \prod_i x_i^{v_i}$ . We can write

$$x^v = \prod_i x_i^{v_i} = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_{|v|}}, \quad \text{with } j_k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

then  $\hat{n}(v)$  is the decreasing rearrangement of the list  $(\langle j_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle j_{|v|} \rangle)$ .

**Example A.4.** Let us set

$$v_{-1} = 2, v_0 = 3, v_1 = 1, v_3 = 1, v_4 = 2.$$

Hence, 1 is repeated 6 times, 3 is repeated 1 time, and 4 is repeated 2 times :

$$\hat{n}_1 = 4, \hat{n}_2 = 4, \hat{n}_3 = 3, \hat{n}_4 = \cdots = \hat{n}_9 = 1$$

Given  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 2$  from now on we define

$$\hat{n} = \hat{n}(\alpha + \beta) \quad \text{and set } N := |\alpha| + |\beta|$$

which is the cardinality of  $\hat{n}$ . We observe that,  $N \geq 2$  and since

$$\text{int} \quad (30) \quad 0 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i(\alpha_i - \beta_i) = \sum_{h > 0} h(\alpha_h - \beta_h - \alpha_{-h} + \beta_{-h}),$$

there exists a choice of  $\sigma_i = \pm 1, 0$  such that<sup>3</sup>

$$\text{ci} \quad (31) \quad \sum_l \sigma_l \hat{n}_l = 0.$$

with  $\sigma_l \neq 0$  if  $\hat{n}_l \neq 1$ . Hence,

$$\text{za} \quad (32) \quad \hat{n}_1 \leq \sum_{l \geq 2} \hat{n}_l.$$

$$0 = \sum_{h > 0} h(\alpha_h + \beta_{-h}) - \sum_{h > 0} h(\alpha_{-h} + \beta_h)$$

$$\sum \hat{n} = \sum h \left[ (\alpha_h + \beta_{-h}) + (\alpha_{-h} + \beta_h) \right] + \text{card. di } 0$$

$\hat{m} = h$  compare  $(\alpha_h + \beta_{-h} + \alpha_{-h} + \beta_h)$  volte

$$\sigma_e : \hat{m}_e = h \quad e + \alpha_h + \beta_{-h} \text{ volte} \\ - \alpha_{-h} + \beta_h \text{ volte}$$

per  $h > 1$

per  $h = 1$  e  $+ \alpha_1 + \beta_{-1}$  volte  $- \alpha_{-1} + \beta_1$  volte  
e 0  $\alpha_0 + \beta_0$  volte.

Ora se  $\hat{m} = (\hat{m}_1, \hat{m}_2, \dots)$

con  $N \geq 2$  soddisfa  $\sum \sigma_e \hat{m}_e = 0$

con  $\sigma_e = 0$  solo se  $\hat{m}_e = 1$

$$\text{allora} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{m}_i^0 - 2\hat{m}_1^0 \geq (2-2^0) \sum_{i \geq 3} \hat{m}_i^0$$

Dim. se  $\hat{m}_1 = 1$  allora tutti gli  $\hat{m}_i = 1$

perché mi viene

$$N-2 \geq (2-2^\theta) N-2 \quad (\text{Vero!})$$

Se  $\hat{m}_1 > 1$  allora  $\sum \sigma_l \hat{m}_l = 0$

implica  $\hat{m}_1 \leq \sum_{l \geq 2} \hat{m}_l$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{m}_i^\theta - 2\hat{m}_1^\theta = \sum_{i=2}^N \hat{m}_i^\theta - \hat{m}_1^\theta \geq$$

$$\sum_{i=2}^N \hat{m}_i^\theta - \left( \sum_{i=2}^N \hat{m}_i \right)^\theta$$

Se  $N=2$  per conservazione del momento

$$\hat{m}_1 = \hat{m}_2 \Rightarrow \text{vale}$$

Se  $N \geq 3$

$$\hat{m}_2^\theta + \sum_{i=3}^N \hat{m}_i^\theta - \left( \hat{m}_2 + \sum_{i=3}^N \hat{m}_i \right)^\theta \geq (2-2^\theta) \sum_{i=3}^N \hat{m}_i^\theta$$

$$f(x) = x_2^\theta - \left( \sum_{i=2}^N x_i \right)^\theta + (2^{\theta-1}) \sum_{i=3}^N x_i^\theta$$

$f(x)$  cresce in  $x_2 \geq x_3$

$f(x_3, x_3, x_4, \dots)$  cresce in  $x_3 \geq x_4$

$$x_3^\theta - \left( 2x_3 + \sum_{i=4}^N x_i \right)^\theta + (2^{\theta-1}) \left( x_3^\theta + \sum_{i=4}^N \dots \right)$$

$$2x_3^\theta - \left( 2x_3 + \sum_{i=4}^N x_i \right)^\theta + (2^{\theta-1}) \sum_{i=4}^N x_i^\theta$$

$f(x_4, x_4, x_4, x_5, \dots)$  cresce in  $x_4 \geq x_5$

iterando

$$x_{k+1}^\theta - \left( kx_{k+1} + \text{Resto} \right)^\theta + (2^{\theta-1}) (k-1) x_{k+1}^\theta$$

cresce in  $x_{k+1}$  (Ok con'!)

restano altri = e viene!

Ora dimostriamo 3.5.

$$\sum \langle i \rangle^\theta (\alpha_i + \beta_i) = \sum_{e=1}^N \hat{m}_e^\theta \quad (\text{per costruzione})$$

dato che  $\alpha_j + \beta_j \neq 0 \quad \langle j \rangle \leq \hat{m}_1$

quindi

$$\sum \langle i \rangle^\theta (\alpha_i + \beta_i) - 2 \langle j \rangle^\theta \geq \sum \hat{m}_e^\theta - 2 \hat{m}_1^\theta$$

e il risultato segue.

in particolare se

$$H = \sum H_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha u^{-\beta} \quad \text{t.c.} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta$$

$$\sum_{e=3}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \hat{m}_e^\theta (\alpha+\beta) > K \quad \text{allora}$$

$$|H|_{r, s+\delta} < e^{-\delta K} |H|_s$$

I piccoli divisori:

Le chiave degli algoritmi BNF è risolvere le equazioni omologiche

Vediamo lo schema che voglio seguire

$$\text{Punto da } H = \sum \omega_j |u_j|^2 + P$$

$$\text{con } P \in \mathcal{P}^{\geq 1}$$

$$\text{cerco } S \in \mathcal{P}^{\geq 1} \text{ t.c.}$$

$$\text{e } \{S, \cdot\} \quad H = \sum \omega_j |u_j|^2 + Z + P_+ \quad \text{con } P_+ \in \mathcal{P}^{\geq 2}$$

$$\text{e } \{ \sum \omega_j |u_j|^2, Z \} = 0$$

uso la formula dell'exp

$$\text{Nota e } \{S, \cdot\} - 1 - \{S, \cdot\} \text{ mappa } \mathcal{P}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\geq 2}$$

(ci sono almeno 2 parentesi di Poisson)



con  $S$  che ha grado  $\geq 1$

allo stesso modo e  $\mathbb{P}^{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\geq 2}$

quindi:

$$\pi^{(d \leq 2)} \left( e^{\{S, \cdot\}} H \right) = \sum \omega_j |u_j|^2 + P + \{S, \sum \omega_j |u_j|^2\}$$

---

REM:

Definizione: dato  $I \subset \{(\alpha, \beta) : \pi(\alpha - \beta) = 0\}$

(colloinsieme di indici)

$$\pi_I H = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I} H_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha \bar{u}^\beta$$

$\pi^{(d \leq d_0)}$  è la proiezione  $I := \{(\alpha, \beta) : |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq d_0\}$

---

Quindi: Bisogna (SE POSSIBILE)

$$P + \{S, \sum \omega_j |u_j|^2\} = Z$$

in modo che  $Z$  commuta con  $\sum w_j |u_j|^2$

Definisco  $L_\omega: H_{r,\omega} \rightarrow H_{r,\omega}$  (?)  
non è detto!

$$L_\omega S = \left\{ \sum w_j |u_j|^2, S \right\}$$

$$\text{e } S = \sum S_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha \bar{u}^\beta$$

$$L_\omega S \stackrel{?}{=} \sum S_{\alpha\beta} i\omega \cdot (\alpha - \beta) u^\alpha \bar{u}^\beta$$

(e priori se  $\omega \notin \ell^\infty$  non è detto)  
che sia convergente!

definisco quindi

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{R}} H = \sum_{\omega(\alpha-\beta) \neq 0} H_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha \bar{u}^\beta$$

$$\Pi_{\ker} H = \sum_{\omega \cdot (\alpha-\beta) = 0} H_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha \bar{u}^\beta$$

$$\approx \quad \Pi_R H = H \quad (H \in \mathcal{H}_{r,w}^R)$$

$$L_\omega S = H \quad \text{emmette formellement}$$

une solution

$$S_{\alpha,\beta} := \frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}}{i(\omega \cdot (\alpha - \beta))}$$

$$D_\gamma: \omega: \quad |\omega_j - j^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad e_i$$

$$\forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$|\omega \cdot \ell| \geq \frac{\gamma}{\prod_i (1 + \ell_i^2 \langle i \rangle^2)}$$

where  $\text{ad}_S(\cdot) := \{\mathcal{D}, \cdot\}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Fix  $s \geq 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$  and  $\omega \in D_\gamma$ . For any  $R \in \mathcal{H}_{r,s}^d$  with  $d \geq 1$  and such that  $\Pi_{\mathcal{K}} R = 0$ , the Homological equation  $L_\omega S = R$  has a unique solution  $S = L_\omega^{-1} R \in \mathcal{H}_{r,s+\sigma}^d$  such that  $\Pi_{\mathcal{K}} S = 0$  and moreover

$$(22) \quad \|L_\omega^{-1} R\|_{r,s+\sigma} \leq \gamma^{-1} e^{C_1 \sigma^{-\frac{3}{\theta}}} \|R\|_{r,s}$$

Proof of the main Theorem. The theorem follows by the following homological equation of

Questo è doloroso

Devo far vedere che

$$\frac{W(s+\sigma)^{2j-(\alpha+\beta)}}{|\omega \cdot (\alpha-\beta)|} \leq \gamma^{-1} e^{C_1 \sigma^{-\frac{3}{\theta}}} W(s)^{2j-(\alpha+\beta)}$$

$$\forall \alpha, \beta, j \text{ t.c. } |\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 3 \quad \pi(\alpha-\beta) = 0$$

$$\alpha_j + \beta_j \neq 0$$

$$\frac{e^{-\sigma \left( \sum (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \langle i \rangle^\theta - 2 \langle j \rangle \right)}}{|\omega \cdot (\alpha-\beta)|} \leq e^{C_1 \sigma^{-\frac{3}{\theta}}}$$

Abbiamo visto che il numeratore  $\leq 1$

quindi se  $|\omega \cdot (\alpha-\beta)| \geq 1$  OVVIO

Lemma (Bonde)

$$\alpha \quad \sum i^2 (\alpha_i - \beta_i) > 2|\alpha - \beta| \quad [\alpha \neq \beta]$$

(Rem 11 è la norma  $l_1$ )

allora  $|w \cdot (\alpha - \beta)| \geq 1$

$$w_j = j^2 + \zeta_j \quad \text{con} \quad |\zeta_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$|w \cdot (\alpha - \beta)| \geq \left| \sum i^2 (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \right| - |\zeta \cdot \alpha - \beta|$$

$$\geq 2|\alpha - \beta| - |\alpha - \beta| |\zeta|_\infty$$

$$\geq |\alpha - \beta| \geq 1$$

---

Lemma (Bourgain)

**Lemma A.7.** Consider  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 3$ . If

$$(38) \quad \left| \sum_i (\alpha_i - \beta_i) i^2 \right| \leq 2 \sum_i |\alpha_i - \beta_i|,$$

then for all  $j$  such that  $\alpha_j + \beta_j \neq 0$  one has

$$(39) \quad \sum_i |\alpha_i - \beta_i| \langle i \rangle^{\theta/2} \leq C_* \left( \sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \langle i \rangle^\theta - 2 \langle j \rangle^\theta \right), \quad C_* = \frac{7}{2 - 2^\theta}$$