

# A note on height pairings on polarized abelian varieties

VALERIO TALAMANCA

University of Oxford

ABSTRACT. Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $k$ . In this short note we give a characterization of the endomorphisms that preserve the height pairing associated to a polarization. We also give a functorial interpretation of this result.

## Una Nota sugli accoppiamenti associati alle altezze sulle varietà abeliane polarizzate

RIASSUNTO. Sia  $A$  una varietà abeliana definita su un campo di numeri  $k$ . In quest breve nota diamo una caratterizzazione degli endomorfismi che lasciano invariata la forma bilineare associata all'altezza canonica definita a partire da una polarizzazione. Diamo, inoltre, un'interpretazione functoriale di questo risultato.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $k$ . In [4], A. Néron introduced the canonical height pairing:  $\langle , \rangle : \hat{A}(\bar{k}) \times A(\bar{k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , between  $A$  and its dual abelian variety,  $\hat{A}$ . This pairing satisfies the following fundamental properties:

**HP1** The pairing  $\langle , \rangle$  is bilinear.

**HP2** If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $k$ -homomorphism, then

$$\langle \hat{f}(b), a \rangle = \langle b, f(a) \rangle$$

for all  $a \in A(\bar{k})$  and  $b \in \hat{B}(\bar{k})$ .

A *polarization* on  $A$  is an isogeny  $\lambda : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ , such that  $\lambda_{\bar{k}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$  for some ample invertible sheaf on  $A_{\bar{k}} = A \times_{\text{spec } k} \text{spec } \bar{k}$  where  $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}(a) = t_a^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ , and  $t_a$  is the translation by  $a$  map (cf. [3]). To any polarization  $\lambda$  we can then associate a symmetric bilinear pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \langle , \rangle_{\lambda} : A(\bar{k}) \times A(\bar{k}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ (a, b) &\longmapsto \langle \lambda(a), b \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which is called the *height pairing associated to  $\lambda$* .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G, 14.

*Key words and phrases.* Abelian varieties, height pairings, endomorphisms.

Research partially supported by a post-doc fellowship of the University of Padova. The author would also like to thank the Mathematics Department of Università di Roma III for the kind hospitality.

It will appear in *Rendiconti Matematici dell'Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei*

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

In this short note we give a characterization of the endomorphisms that preserve the height pairing associated to a polarization (actually, we prove a slightly more general result see the proposition below). In order to state our functorial interpretation of this result we need to define the category  $\mathfrak{hgm}$ , of height Galois modules. This is done as follows:

objects: pairs  $(G, \langle, \rangle_G)$ , where  $G$  is an abelian group endowed with an action of  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ ;  $\langle, \rangle_G$  is a symmetric bilinear real valued pairing on  $G$ , which is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant if we let  $\Gamma$  act trivially on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

morphisms:  $\Gamma$ -equivariant homomorphisms  $f : G \rightarrow H$  such that

$$\langle f(a), f(a') \rangle_H = \langle a, a' \rangle_G \quad (*)$$

for all  $a, a' \in G$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $k$  be a number field and  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{pav}_k$  denote the category of polarized abelian varieties defined over  $k$ . Then, the functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{pav}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{hgm}$ , which assigns to  $(A, \lambda)$  the height Galois module  $\mathcal{F}(A, \lambda) = (A(\bar{k}), \langle, \rangle_\lambda)$ , and to any morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  the induced morphism  $f : A(\bar{k}) \rightarrow B(\bar{k})$ , is fully faithful.*

## 2. Height Pairings and Homomorphisms

Let  $(A, \lambda), (B, \eta)$ , be two polarized abelian varieties defined over  $k$ . A homomorphism of polarized abelian varieties is a homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\lambda = \hat{f} \circ \eta \circ f$ . We denote by  $\text{Hom}_k((A, \lambda), (B, \eta))$  the set formed by those homomorphisms from  $(A, \lambda)$  to  $(B, \eta)$  that are defined over  $k$ .

Our aim is the following:

**Proposition.** *Let  $g : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism defined over  $k$ . Suppose that  $\lambda, \eta$  are polarizations on  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Let  $g = t_u \circ f$ , where  $u \in B(k)$ , and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism. Then*

$$\langle g(a), g(a') \rangle_\eta = \langle a, a' \rangle_\lambda \quad \forall a, a' \in A(\bar{k}) \quad (2.1)$$

if and only if  $f \in \text{Hom}_k((A, \lambda), (B, \eta))$  and  $u$  is torsion point.

**Corollary.** *Let  $(A, \lambda)$  be a polarized abelian variety and  $f$  an endomorphism of  $A$ . Then*

$$\langle f(a), f(a') \rangle_\lambda = \langle a, a' \rangle_\lambda \quad \forall a, a' \in A(\bar{k})$$

if and only if  $\lambda = \hat{f} \circ \lambda \circ f$ .

We need a preliminary lemma.

**Lemma.** *Let  $\lambda$  and  $\eta$  be two polarizations on  $A$ . Then  $\langle, \rangle_\lambda = \langle, \rangle_\eta \iff \lambda = \eta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  and  $\eta$  be two polarizations on  $A$  such that  $\langle, \rangle_\lambda = \langle, \rangle_\eta$ . Then

$$\langle \lambda(a) - \eta(a), a' \rangle = \langle a, a' \rangle_\lambda - \langle a, a' \rangle_\eta = 0$$

for all  $a'$  in  $A$ . Since the kernel on each side of the Néron pairing is the torsion subgroup of  $A(\bar{k})$  (see, e.g. [2, Theorem 5.6.3]) we find that for every  $a \in A(\bar{k})$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , depending on  $a$ , such that  $[n]\lambda(a) = [n]\eta(a)$ . Let  $C$  a simple abelian subvariety of  $A$ . Then  $\lambda(a) = \eta(a)$  for infinitely many  $a \in C(\bar{k})$ , and thus  $\lambda$  and  $\eta$  coincide when restricted to  $C$ . The Poincaré reducibility theorem yields the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

*Proof of the Proposition.* We start by proving the proposition for homomorphisms. If  $a, a' \in A(\bar{k})$ , then

$$\langle f(a), f(a') \rangle_\eta = \langle \eta(f(a)), f(a') \rangle = \langle (\hat{f} \circ \eta \circ f)(a), a' \rangle = \langle a, a' \rangle_{\hat{f} \circ \eta \circ f} \quad (2.2)$$

If  $f \in \text{Hom}((A, \lambda), (B, \eta))$ , then  $\hat{f} \circ \eta \circ f = \lambda$ , and hence  $f$  has the desired property. Conversely, suppose that  $\langle f(a), f(a') \rangle_\eta = \langle a, a' \rangle_\lambda$  for all  $a, a' \in A(\bar{k})$ . Then, by (2.2), we have  $\langle, \rangle_{\hat{f} \circ \eta \circ f} = \langle, \rangle_\lambda$ . Therefore,  $\hat{f} \circ \eta \circ f = \lambda$  by the above lemma. Now we deal with the general case. Suppose  $g = t_u \circ f$ , where

$f \in \text{Hom}((A, \lambda), (B, \lambda))$ , and  $u \in B(k)$  a torsion point. The bilinearity of  $\langle g(a), g(b) \rangle_\eta$  combined with (2.2), gives

$$\langle g(a), g(b) \rangle_\eta = \langle f(a), f(a') \rangle_\eta = \langle a, a' \rangle_\lambda.$$

Finally, suppose that  $g = t_u \circ f$  satisfies (2.1). Then  $\langle g(a), u \rangle_\eta = 0$  for all  $a \in A(\bar{k})$ . Using the bilinearity of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\eta$  and (2.2), we find

$$\langle a, a' \rangle_\lambda = \langle f(a), f(a') \rangle_\eta = \langle a, a' \rangle_{f \circ \eta \circ f}.$$

It then follows from the lemma above that  $\hat{f} \circ \eta \circ f = \lambda$ . It remains to show that  $u$  is a torsion point. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample invertible sheaf on such that  $\eta_{\bar{k}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^-$  (where  $\mathcal{L}^- = [-1]^* \mathcal{L}$ ) is ample and symmetric. Since  $\mathcal{L} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^-)^{-1}$  is algebraically equivalent to zero, we have that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}^-}$ , so

$$\langle u, u \rangle_{\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_0}} = 2 \langle u, u \rangle_{\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}} = 2 \langle u, u \rangle_\eta = \langle 0, 0 \rangle_\lambda = 0.$$

But, being  $\mathcal{L}_0$  symmetric,  $\langle u, u \rangle_{\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_0}}$  is proportional to the canonical height associated to  $\mathcal{L}_0$ , which, for an ample symmetric divisor, vanishes only on torsion points. ■

### A Functorial Interpretation

The above proposition has a functorial interpretation as we shall now show. Let  $\mathbf{pav}_k$  denote the category whose objects are polarized abelian varieties defined over  $k$ , and let  $\mathbf{hgm}$  be the category of height Galois modules, which we defined in the introduction. Given  $(G, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G)$  and  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ , we denote by  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(G, H)$  the set of morphisms (in the category of height Galois modules) from  $(G, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G)$  to  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ . We define a functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{pav}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{hgm}$  as follows: given a polarized abelian variety  $(A, \lambda)$  defined over  $k$  we let  $\mathcal{F}(A, \lambda) = (A(\bar{k}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda)$ . Given a  $k$ -morphism  $f : (A, \lambda) \rightarrow (B, \eta)$  then  $\mathcal{F}(f)$  is just the restriction of  $f$  to  $A(\bar{k})$ , which is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant because  $f$  is defined over  $k$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{F}(f)$  satisfies (\*) by the above proposition. The only thing that remains to be verified is that  $(A(\bar{k}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda)$  is an object of  $\mathbf{hgm}$ , i.e. that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda$  is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$ . Recall that the canonical height pairing coincides with the canonical height associated to the Poincaré bundle on  $\hat{A} \times A$  (this can be seen by comparing [4, section 14] and [5, section 3.4], also cf. [7, Appendix A1 Proposition 6]). But the Poincaré bundle is defined over the ground field, and absolute projective heights are invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$  (see. [6, lemma 5.10]). Therefore,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$ . Thus

$$\langle \sigma(a), \sigma(a') \rangle_\lambda = \langle \lambda(\sigma(a)), \sigma(a') \rangle = \langle \sigma(\lambda(a)), \sigma(a') \rangle = \langle \lambda(a), a' \rangle = \langle a, a' \rangle_\lambda.$$

where we used that  $\lambda$  is defined over  $k$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $k$  be a number field. Then the functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{pav}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{hgm}$  is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* The faithfulness of  $\mathcal{F}$  follows directly from the above proposition. To prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is full let  $A$  and  $B$  be two abelian varieties defined over  $k$ , and suppose that  $\tilde{f}$  is in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(A(\bar{k}), B(\bar{k}))$ . In particular  $\tilde{f}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant homomorphism from  $A(\bar{k})$  to  $B(\bar{k})$ . It was shown by Faltings (as a non-trivial consequence of the Tate's conjecture) that the natural injection  $\text{End}_k(A) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_\Gamma(A(\bar{k}))$  is an isomorphism (see [1, theorem 5 on page 205]). Applying this result to  $A \times B$ , we find that there exists an  $f$  belonging to  $\text{Hom}_k(A, B)$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{f}$ . By assumption  $\tilde{f}$  belongs to  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(A(\bar{k}), B(\bar{k}))$ , thus  $\langle a, a' \rangle_\lambda = \langle f(a), f(a') \rangle_\eta$  for all  $a, a' \in A(\bar{k})$ . By the above proposition  $f$  belongs to  $\text{Hom}_k((A, \lambda), (B, \eta))$ . ■

### REFERENCES

1. G. Faltings and G. Wüstholz, *Rational Points*, Seminar Bonn/Wuppertal 1983/84, Vieweg, 1984.
2. S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
3. J. S. Milne, *Abelian Varieties*, Arithmetic Geometry (G. Cornell and J.H. Silverman, eds.), Springer-Verlag, 1986.
4. A. Néron, *Quasi-fonctions et Hauteurs sur les variétés abéliennes*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 249–331.
5. J.P. Serre, *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil Theorem*, Vieweg, 1989.
6. J.H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Text in Mathematics 106, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

7. V. Talamanca, *Height preserving transformations on linear spaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Brandeis University, 1995.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, 24-29 ST. GILES OXFORD, OX1 3LB, U.K.

*Current address:* KdV Instituut voor Wiskunde, Plantage Muidersgracht 24, 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

*E-mail address:* [valerio@wins.uva.nl](mailto:valerio@wins.uva.nl)