# Moduli spaces and the Hilbert scheme

#### Luca Schaffler University of Georgia

July 31, 2013

(4日) (日)

< ≣ >

æ



2 The Hilbert scheme

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○

æ

## Definition of moduli problem

To define a moduli problem we need:

 a collection of "things" we want to parametrize (say finite sets)

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

æ

## Definition of moduli problem

To define a moduli problem we need:

- a collection of "things" we want to parametrize (say finite sets)
- a category 𝔅 (we'll use **Sets**)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Definition of moduli problem

To define a moduli problem we need:

- a collection of "things" we want to parametrize (say finite sets)
- a category 𝔅 (we'll use Sets)
- a notion of family of these things over an object B of  $\mathfrak{C}$  (maps  $\mathfrak{X} \to B$  whose fibers have finite cardinality)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Definition of moduli problem

To define a moduli problem we need:

- a collection of "things" we want to parametrize (say finite sets)
- a category 𝔅 (we'll use Sets)
- a notion of family of these things over an object B of  $\mathfrak{C}$  (maps  $\mathfrak{X} \to B$  whose fibers have finite cardinality)
- a notion of "pullback" of a family (see the whiteboard)

(4 同) (4 回) (4 回)

## Definition of moduli problem

To define a moduli problem we need:

- a collection of "things" we want to parametrize (say finite sets)
- a category 𝔅 (we'll use Sets)
- a notion of family of these things over an object B of  $\mathfrak{C}$  (maps  $\mathfrak{X} \to B$  whose fibers have finite cardinality)
- a notion of "pullback" of a family (see the whiteboard)

**Caution!**: Everything is up to some equivalence relation.

(4 同) (4 回) (4 回)

Call F(B) the set of families over an object B of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . So a moduli problem is a controvariant functor:

 $\begin{array}{c} F \colon \mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Sets}, \ \mathrm{s.t.} \\ B \mapsto F(B) \end{array}$ 

A concrete example. The counting functor:

 $\mathcal{C} \colon \mathbf{Sets}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Sets}, \text{ s.t.}$  $B \mapsto \{\text{morphisms over } B$ with finite fibers}

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## Definition of fine moduli space

#### Definition

A fine moduli space for a moduli problem  $F : \mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Sets}$  is an object M of  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $F \cong Hom(-, M)$ .

This is equivalent to the existence of a *universal family*  $U \in F(M)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} & \longrightarrow & U \\ & & & \\ \downarrow & & & \\ B & \stackrel{\exists !}{\longrightarrow} & M \end{array}$$

・ 回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

#### Example of fine moduli space:

Proposition  $\mathcal{C} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(-, \mathbb{N}).$ 

It's quite easy to guess the universal family:

 $U = \{(1,1), (2,1), (2,2), (3,1), (3,2), (3,3), \ldots\}$ 

 $U \to \mathbb{N}$  is the projection on the first factor.

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と



## The notion of Hilbert Polynomial

 $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  closed

$$X \longrightarrow P_X \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$$

#### $P_X$ reflects important properties of X

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

**Natural question**: Given  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$  we want to understand all closed  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  with  $P_X = P$ ...

**Natural question**: Given  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$  we want to understand all closed  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  with  $P_X = P$ ...

...and this is the purpose of the Hilbert scheme!

We can define the Hilbert functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb_P^r$  and the big result is that:

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

**Natural question**: Given  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$  we want to understand all closed  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  with  $P_X = P$ ...

...and this is the purpose of the Hilbert scheme!

We can define the Hilbert functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb_P^r$  and the big result is that:

Theorem (Grothendieck)

 $\mathcal{H}ilb_P^r \cong \operatorname{Hom}(-, Hilb_P^r).$ 

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## Properties of the Hilbert scheme

- 1.  $Hilb_P^r$  is projective;
- 2. *Hilb*<sup>*r*</sup><sub>*P*</sub> is connected (Hartshorne, Ph.D. Thesis, 1963);
- 3.  $Hilb_{14z-23}^3$  is not reduced (Mumford, 1962);
- 4. *Hilb*<sup>*r*</sup><sub>*P*</sub> may not be irreducible. Consider  $Hilb_{2z+2}^3$ :



• 3 >

• 3 >

## Proof of the existence of the Hilbert scheme

 $m = m(P) \in \mathbb{N}$ 

## Proof of the existence of the Hilbert scheme

 $m = m(P) \in \mathbb{N}$ 

### $\mathcal{H}ilb_P^r \rightarrow Hom(-, Gr(P(m), \binom{m+r}{r}))$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ●目 - のへで

## Proof of the existence of the Hilbert scheme

$$m = m(P) \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathcal{H}\textit{ilb}_{P}^{r} \rightarrow \textit{Hom}(-,\textit{Gr}(P(m),\binom{m+r}{r}))) \\ \searrow \uparrow \\ \textit{Hom}(-,S)$$

# Thank you for your attention!

#### Main references:

- J. Harris, I. Morrison. *Moduli of Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 187.
- J. Kock, I. Vainsencher. An Invitation to Quantum Cohomology, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 249.
- R. Hartshorne. Connectedness of the Hilbert Scheme, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 29, 5-48, 1966.
- D. Mumford. *Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface*, Princeton University Press, 59.

高 とう モン・ く ヨ と